

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/099,628	03/15/2002		Berthier Lemieux	324-010890-US(PAR)	2239
2512	7590	10/22/2004		EXAMINER	
PERMAN 425 POST R		N	NGUYEN, DUC MINH		
FAIRFIELD		324		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2643	

DATE MAILED: 10/22/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	Office Author 0	10/099,628	LEMIEUX ET AL.	ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Duc Nguyen	2643					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communica or Reply	ntion appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence a	ddress				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THE PROPERTY OF	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a cation. days, a reply within the statutory minimum of th ory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI, by statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered time INTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.				
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)⊠ 5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) <u>1-7, 16-18, 22</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>8,10-13,19-21 and 23</u> is/are r Claim(s) <u>9,14 and 15</u> is/are objected to Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from consideration. ejected.						
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the I	Examiner.						
10)	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the three oath or declaration is objected to be							
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) X (Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority do 2. Certified copies of the priority do	ocuments have been received. Ocuments have been received in the priority documents have been all Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this Nationa	l Stage				
Attachmer	ut(s)		,					
_	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗍 Interview	Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice 3) Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT er No(s)/Mail Date)-948) Paper No	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PT	O-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/099,628

Art Unit: 2643

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 8, 10-13, 19-21, 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Scrikawa et al (6,028,845).

Consider claims 8, 10-11, 19-20. Scrikawa teaches a method for determining the performance of the decoding in a telecommunication system comprising a decoder (fig. 10, terminal equipment 12) and a testing apparatus (fig. 10, TDMA equipment 11) for supplying test data (fig. 10, pattern generating means 71) to the decoder, comprising generating test data comprising signaling data in a signaling frame format (col. 9, ln. 34-44), transmitting the test data mapped into two consecutive frames from the testing apparatus to the decoder for decoding (col. 9, ln. 34-44), receiving the test data one frame at a time, extracting the test data from the received test data frames in the decoder (col. 10, ln. 11-33), transmitting the test data frames back to the testing apparatus (col. 9, ln. 44-50), and determining the performance of decoding by comparing the transmitted signaling data and the received signaling data in the test apparatus (see the entire abstract; col. 9, ln. 5-34).

Consider claims 12-13, 21. Scrikawa further teaches the loop back instruction (col. 19, ln. 3-18).

Application/Control Number: 10/099,628

Art Unit: 2643

Consider claim 23. Scrikawa teaches a method for determining the performance of the decoding in a telecommunication system comprising a decoder (fig. 10, terminal equipment 12) and a testing apparatus (fig. 10, TDMA equipment 11) for supplying test data (fig. 10, pattern generating means 71) to the decoder, comprising generating test data comprising signaling data in a signaling frame format (col. 9, ln. 34-44), transmitting the test data mapped into two consecutive frames from the testing apparatus to the decoder for decoding (col. 9, ln. 34-44), receiving the test data one frame at a time, extracting the test data from the received test data frames in the decoder (col. 10, ln. 11-33), transmitting the test data frames back to the testing apparatus (col. 9, ln. 44-50), and determining the performance of decoding by comparing the transmitted signaling data and the received signaling data in the test apparatus (see the entire abstract; col. 9, ln. 5-34). Serikawa further suggests the use of RF links (col. 10, ln. 63-65, fig. 6) and mobile and base station (fig. 9, col. 8, ln. 11-39).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 3. Claims 9, 14-15 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 4. Claims 1-7, 16-18, 22 are allowed over the prior art of record.

Conclusion

Art Unit: 2643

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Duc Nguyen whose telephone number is 703-308-7527. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00AM-3:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Curtis Kuntz can be reached on 703-305-4708. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Duc Nguyen Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2643

10/18/2004